Which of the following optional and recommended properties do you use when registering DOIs? [check all that apply]

- Subject
- Description
- Date
- Contributor
- Language
- RelatedIdentifier
- FundingReference
- Format
- Version
- Rights
- AlternateIdentifier
- Size
- GeoLocation
Which of the following other types of identifiers do you include in DOI metadata?

- **relatedIdentifier**
  - 1 vote

- **funderIdentifier (fundingReference)** - e.g. Crossref Funder ID
  - 4 votes

- **affiliationIdentifier (Creator or Contributor / affiliation)** - e.g. ROR
  - 3 votes

- **nameIdentifier (Creator or Contributor)** - e.g. ORCID
  - 16 votes
What are the barriers to including additional metadata with DOI registration? [check all that apply]

- Repository platform does not yet support additional metadata properties 16
- DataCite metadata schema definitions are ambiguous 11
- Repository uses discipline-specific metadata elements that do not map to DataCite's schema 3
- Lack of time 11
- Lack of knowledge 8
- Something else 7
What elements of the metadata schema are ambiguous?

- relatedItem
- RelatedItem, also entry for the subject on the webapp is quite tricky
- <subject>, it all depends how it is locally interpreted!
- Publisher; relatedItem
- Publisher
- subject
- Overlap between contributor roles - lack of clear definitions/boundaries
- subject
- Publisher
What elements of the metadata schema are ambiguous?

- relationType and how to apply subject properties to chemical substance identifiers
- relationType
- relation type
- item relation type - how to use it, when use which
  Publisher - the definition of this term is not clear. What do you mean - repository or real publisher? Technical information, Methodology.